



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

**HORST M KASPER
13 FOREST DRIVE
WARREN, NJ 07059**

COPY MAILED

SEP 25 2006

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of	:	
Ellenberger et al.	:	
Application No. 09/595,136	:	ON PETITION
Filed: June 16, 2000	:	
Attorney Docket No. 01954/000K538-US0	:	

This is a decision on the petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b), filed April 7, 2006, to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

The petition satisfies the conditions for revival pursuant to the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) in that (1) the reply in the form of an Amendment in Response to Non-Final Office Action; (2) the petition fee; and (3) the required statement of unintentional delay have been received. Accordingly, the response has been accepted as having been unintentionally delayed.

The above-identified application has been abandoned for an extended period of time. The Patent and Trademark Office is relying on petitioner's duty of candor and good faith and accepting the statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional.¹

There is no indication that the person signing the instant petition was ever given a power of attorney or authorization of agent to prosecute the above-identified application. If the person signing the instant petition desires to receive future correspondence regarding this application, the appropriate power of attorney or authorization of agent must be submitted. While a courtesy copy of this decision is being mailed to the person signing the instant petition, all future correspondence will be directed solely to the address currently of record until such time as appropriate instructions are received to the contrary.

It is not apparent whether the statement of unintentional delay was signed by a person who would have been in a position of knowing that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. Nevertheless, in accordance with 37 CFR 10.18, the statement is accepted as constituting a certification of unintentional delay. However, in the event that petitioner has no knowledge that the delay was unintentional, petitioner must make such an inquiry to ascertain

¹ See Changes to Patent Practice and Procedure, 62 Fed. Reg. at 53160 and 53178; 1203 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office at 88 and 103 (responses to comments 64 and 109) (applicant obligated under 37 CFR 10.18 to inquire into the underlying facts and circumstances when providing the statement required by 37 CFR 1.137(b) to the Patent and Trademark Office).

that, in fact, the delay was unintentional. If petitioner discovers that the delay was intentional, petitioner must notify the Office.

This matter is being referred to Technology Center 3600 for further examination on the merits.

Telephone inquiries regarding this decision should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3206.



Liana Chase
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

Cc:

WALT THOMAS ZIELINSKI
DARBY & DARBY P.C.
P.O. BOX 5257
NEW YORK, NY 10150-5257